

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,715	12/30/2003	Thomas Odorzynski	1443.087US1	2119	
21186 7590 12/26/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER .		
			MYHRE, JAMES W		
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

e .		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/748,715	ODORZYNSKI, THOMAS
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James W. Myhre	3622
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 (2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the c</li></ol>	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
(PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Dialisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/1/05.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the preliminary amendment filed on October 29, 2007. The preliminary amendment cancelled Claims 2, 9, 19, 21, and 30. Thus, the currently pending claims considered below are Claims 1, 3-8, 10-18, 20, and 22-29.

# Double Patenting

2. Applicant is advised that should claims 3, 8, and 10-13 be found allowable, claims 20, 22, 24, and 27-29 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being substantial duplicates thereof, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim 3 depends from Claim 1 and thus includes three limitations: selling space on diapers to a sponsor; placing an ad onto the diapers; and selling the diapers to customers. Claim 20 depends from Claim 14 and thus contains three limitations: placing a promotion onto diapers; selling the diapers to customers; and selling space on the diapers to sponsors. Thus, Claim 3 and Claim 20 are claiming the same three limitations (the Examiner considers an ad and a promotion to be equivalent). The other claims above are dependent claims which each recite the same limitations of their corresponding claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 12, 14-17, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Gabler</u> (5,481,758).

Claims 1, 3, 8, 14, 20, 22, and 23: <u>Gabler</u> discloses a system and method for advertising, comprising:

- a. selling space on diapers (underwear) to a sponsor (column 1, lines 25-29);
- b. placing an ad onto each diaper (column 2, lines 55-60); and
- c. selling the diapers to customers (column 1, lines 30-35).

Claims 6, 12, and 28: <u>Gabler</u> discloses a system and method as in Claims 1, 8, and 22 above, and further discloses printing the ad onto the diapers (column 4, lines 65-67).

Claim 15: <u>Gabler</u> discloses a method as in Claim 14 above, and further discloses manufacturing the diapers (by citing that the advertisers pay manufacturers of articles of clothing) (column 1, lines 25-29). (Also, inherent, since there must be a manufactured diaper before the advertisement can be placed thereon.)

Claims 16, 17, 25 and 26: <u>Gabler</u> discloses a system and method as in Claims 14 and 22 above, and further discloses that the ad may pertain to the article of clothing (diaper) (by placing an advertisement for jeans on a pair of jeans) or not. <u>Gabler</u> discloses that "the content of the messages which is applied is limited only the imagination of persons making the message garment" (column 3, line 2-9). The Examiner also notes that little, if any, patentable weight is given to the product or type of product being advertised by the ad. It is inherent, as disclosed by <u>Gabler</u> that any message may be placed on the article of clothing (diaper).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 13, 18, 24, 27, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Gabler</u> (5,481,758) in view of <u>Iwamoto</u> (WO 03/023496).

Claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 24, and 27: <u>Gabler</u> discloses a system and method as in Claims 1, 8, and 22 above, but does not explicitly disclose placing a plurality of ads on the diaper. However, <u>Iwamoto</u> discloses a similar system and method for advertising on clothing that places a plurality of advertisements on the front, back, sides, etc. of the clothing (Abstract and Figures 1 and 17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having

10/748,715

Art Unit: 3622

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for <u>Gabler</u> to place one or more additional advertisements on the front, back, sides, or other desired place on the diaper. One would have been motivated to place more than one ad on the diaper in order to increase revenue for the manufacturer since each advertiser would be paying for the ad placement.

Claims 7, 13, and 29: <u>Gabler</u> discloses a system and method as in Claims 1, 8, and 22 above, but does not explicitly disclose attaching a detachable ad to the diapers.

However, <u>Iwamoto</u> discloses a similar system and method for advertising that discloses the ad may be printed onto the clothing or attached in a plurality of detachable manners (Abstract and Figures 2-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for <u>Gabler</u> to detachably attach the ad to the diaper. One would have been motivated to use a detachable ad in order to allow the user to retain the ad after the diapers were used.

Claim 18: Gabler discloses a method as in Claim 14 above, but does not explicitly disclose that the commercial advertisement on the diaper is a coupon. However, a coupon is one well known type of commercial advertisement that have been detachably attached to products for many years. Thus, taken with the disclosure in <a href="Iwamoto">Iwamoto</a> of using detachable advertisements as discussed above, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a coupon as the advertisement or as part of the advertisement. One would have been

motivated to include a (detachable) coupon in order to entice the customer to purchase the advertised product (whether it was for more diapers or some other product).

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Ashy (7,243,377) discloses a hat with a plurality of detachable advertisements affixed thereto.
- b. Ota et al (JP 10317219) discloses clothing with a plurality of advertisements attached thereto.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James W. Myhre whose telephone number is (571) 272-6722. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AWM

December 20, 2007

James W. Myhre

Primary Patent Examiner